Service with sufficient postage as firstclass mail, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 2,17-06

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Cathy Sturmer

(type or print name of person certifying)

EXTENSION OF TERM

	NOTE:	a Non-Fina		Supplement Amendments) - If a timely and co on of time is not required to permit filing and/ utory period.									
		is required to permit filing and/or expiration of the shortened for allowance. Of course, if a as ceased to run." Notice of											
	NOTE:	See 37 C.F.R. §1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. §1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.											
3.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136 apply.												
			(com	plete (a) or (b), as applicable)									
	(a)	☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:											
				Fee for other	Fee for								
	F	vtensio	n (months)	than small entity	small entity								
	_	Atonsio	11 (1110111113)	than small cirtity	Small entity								
	☐ one month			\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00								
			months	\$ 450.00	\$225.00								
			ee months	\$1,020.00	\$510.00								
			r months	\$1,590.00	\$795.00								
•				Fee: \$	3								
3. The §1.		n additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition											
			(check and d	complete the next item, if applica	ble)								
	An extension for months has already been secured. The f paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for total months of extension now requested.												
				Extension fee due with this	request \$								
	OR												
	(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. this conditional petition is being made to provide for the that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for extension of time.												

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
CLAIMS F		IG	HIGHEST PREVIOU PAID FO	JSLY	PRESENT EXTRA	ADDIT. RATE FEE	OR	ADDIT. RATE FEE
TOTAL:	32	MINUS	32	=	0	x \$ 25 =	\$	x \$ 50 = \$
INDEP:	2	MINUS	3	=	0	x \$100 =	\$	x \$200 = \$
☐ FIRST I	PRESENT	ATION OF	MULTIP	LE DEP.	CLAIM	+ \$180 = \$		+ \$360 = \$
						TOTAL ADDL. FEE \$	•	TOTAL ADDL. FEE \$ 0

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. §1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

(c) No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) Total additional fee for claims required is \$_____.

FEE PAYMENT

5. Attached is a check in the sum of \$_____.

Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$_____. A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE:

If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986 (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 23-0442

AND/OR

☑ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 23-0442.

Signature of Practitioner

Um Las

Reg. No.: 40,061

Kenneth Q. Lao

Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP

Telephone No.: (203) 261-1234

Bradford Green, Building Five

755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224

Customer No.: 004955

Monroe, CT 06468

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of: Tikka et al.

Serial No.: 09/965,637

Filed: September 26, 2001

Attorney Docket No.: 944-003.113

Examiner: Tuan Hoang Nguyen

Art Unit: 2681

For: DUAL CHANNEL PASSBAND FILTERING SYSTEM USING ACOUSTIC

RESONATORS IN LATTICE TOPOLOGY

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (Paper No. 20051115)

Sir:

This responds to the non-final office action, dated November 25, 2005.

In the patent application, claims 1-32 are pending. In the office action, all pending are rejected.

At section 3, claims 1-4 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (*Bradley et al.*, EP 1058383 A2, hereafter referred to as *Bradley*) in view of *Dailing et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 3,727,154, hereafter referred to as *Dailing*).

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today, February 17, 2006, with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

2.17.06

Cathy Sturmer